EAGL Presentations Changing High School Students' Attitudes towards Mathematics

Authors: Dante Cardenas, Oscar Campuzano, and Dr. Aaron Wilson, the University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

Abstract

 In 2017, students and professors in the Experimental Algebra and Geometry (EAGL) gave presentations to regional high school math students and also collected preand post-surveys. A modified version of the Towards Mathematics Attitudes (ATMI) was used as the research instrument. The results of these surveys were transferred to spreadsheets for the analysis which sought to find out if these presentations changed students' attitudes towards mathematics. The survey responses were based on a Likert Scale, with the answers ranging from 1 to 5, where 1 represents Strongly Disagree and 5 represents Strongly Agree. The factors making up the math attitudes inventory for this instrument included Self-Confidence, Value, Enjoyment, and Motivation. The missing data we've encountered were inputted using the person-mean substitution method. The overall results of the analysis, which included a sample of N = 306 respondents, were satisfactory. There was evidence that the oneday presentations did have a slight but statistically significant positive effect on attitudes towards Mathematics. students' These findings may have broader implications in terms of influencing students to enter degree plans in Mathematics at the college level.

Lab Inventory

Methods

- Participants:
 - 396 regional high school students
 - Sample population: N = 306 regional high school students with complete survey responses
- Equations:
 - Person-mean substitution method:

$$PMS_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i}{(k-i)}$$

• T-Statistic:

$$\frac{\bar{x} - \mu_o}{s/\sqrt{n}}$$

Mean:

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{N}$$

Standard deviation:

$$\sqrt{\frac{\sum(x-\bar{x})}{N-1}}$$

- Research Question:
 - Did the EAGL presentations change high school students' attitudes towards Mathematics?
- Sample Survey Questions:
 - I am never confused in my math class. (Self-Confidence)
 - A strong math background could help me in my professional life. (Value)
 - I really like math. (Enjoyment)
 - I am willing to take more than the required amount of math. (Motivation)







	Pre-Survey		Post-Survey			
	М	SD	М	SD	T-Stat.	p-value
Self-Confidence	3	0.66	3	0.63	1.15	0.124
Value	4	0.63	4	0.64	3.94	p-value < .001
Enjoyment	3	0.7	3	0.68	6.05	p-value < .001
Motivation	3	0.64	3	0.66	4.58	p-value < .001
Value, Enjoyment, Motivation	3	0.56	4	0.57	6.83	p-value < .001
Conclusion						

SO

We find that there were slight yet statistically significant results from each category.

 From the data analysis results, we can conclude that the EAGL presentations did have a slight yet statistically significant impact of changing high school students' attitudes toward Mathematics. Now, the EAGL presentations are done with a one-day interval, the question here is: Will these presentations provide better results if they've been extended to a three-day interval?